UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/540,548	02/22/2006	Kris Vandermeulen	31118/DY0206	7189	
	7590 04/14/201 ein & Borun LLP (New	EXAMINER			
233 South Wacker Drive 6300 Willis Tower Chicago, IL 60606			MARINI, MATTHEW G		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2854	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/14/2011	ELECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mgbdocket@marshallip.com

	Application No. Applicant(s)		
	10/540,548	VANDERMEULEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MATTHEW G. MARINI	2854	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH the, cause the application to become ABAN	TION.  y be timely filed  S from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24.  2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 21-54,56 and 58 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 21-24 and 40-52 is/ 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 25-39,53,54,and 56-58 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	are withdrawn from considera	tion.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected.	ccepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer  2. Certified copies of the priority documer  3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri  application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in App ority documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/24/11 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 25, 27, 29, 31, 32, 36, 53, 54, 56 and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kobayashi et al. (4,974,238).

With respect to claim 25, Kobayashi et al teaches in Fig. 1 a printing apparatus comprising a printer mechanism (conventionally found in a copier and/or printer, Col. 1 lines 21-24) arranged to print an image onto an image receiving substrate; a reader (20) arranged to read identifiers (12 and 12') associated with consumables (i.e. drum 10) accepted in the printing apparatus (i.e. used for printing); a storage medium (30) in the printing apparatus arranged to hold a list (i.e. table 31) of any previously used identifiers associated with empty consumables (Col. 3 line 67 to Col. 4 line 8), said list (31)

arranged to be updated with identifiers (12 and 12') of subsequent empty consumables (i.e. drums that have reached their useful life span, Col. 4 lines 9-14, or at least close to it); and a processor (40) arranged to compare an identifier (12 or 12') read by the reader (20) with the list (31) of any previously used identifiers and to generate an invalid indication (i.e. alarm to the user, 46) if there is a match between the identifier read by the reader (20) and the list (31) of any previously used identifiers (12 or 12', Col. 2 line 62 to Col. 3 line 10), wherein the invalid indication includes an internal control signal which disables the printing apparatus by displaying an error message (Col. 4 lines 42-64) until the error message is reset by a user (Col. 6 lines 7-9), such that printing operations can not be implemented for a consumable (10) having an identifier in said list (31, Col. 6 line 4-11).

Note disabling is achieved by displaying an error message (Col. 4 line 47) to a user and until that message is reset by a user (Col. 6 lines 7-9), the operations of the printer are remained disabled.

The examiner would like to point out the current interpretation of Col. 6 line 4-11 and how Kobayashi discloses "the process proceeds to the block 108 where the operator is notified on the operator panel that maintenance is required. In the embodiment being described, however, a drum having a used life count exceeding the predetermined life can be continued to be used if so desired by the operator" (emphasis added). The examiner has taken the interpretation that the user can choose to perform maintenance on the printer, i.e. by replacing the drum during halted operations, or the user can force printing to continue "if so desired by the operator". The disclosure of

Kobayashi explicitly teaches the user making a choice between performing maintenance on the drum when printing is not occurring/disabled due to the alarm step 108 (occurring before printing) or to force printing to occur by resetting the alarm used to "disabling" the printer; hence, reading on the present invention.

With respect to claim 27, Kobayashi et al teaches in Fig. 1 a printing apparatus wherein the storage medium (30) is arranged to hold a table (31) comprising a plurality of identifier fields (as seen in Fig. 1) associated with respective status fields (i.e. area 34, containing the count data).

With respect to claim 29, Kobayashi et al teaches in Fig. 1 a printing apparatus wherein the processor (40) is arranged to load into one of said identifier fields (i.e. empty space) an identifier read by the reader (20) which does not match a previously used identifier (Col. 4 lines 5-8).

With respect to claim 31, Kobayashi et al teaches in Fig. 1 a printing apparatus further comprising a usage monitor (counter, 44) for monitoring the usage of the consumable (Col. 3 lines 6-10).

With respect to claim 32, Kobayashi et al teaches in Fig. 1 a printing apparatus wherein the processor (40) is arranged to update the status field (area 34 of Fig. 1) to

indicate the amount of consumable (i.e. drum) remaining based on the usage monitored by the usage monitor (44).

With respect to claim 36, Kobayashi et al teaches in Fig. 1 in combination, a printing apparatus (Col. 1 lines 21-24) and a consumable (drum 10) associated with an identifier (12 or 12'), wherein the printing apparatus comprises: a printer mechanism (conventionally found in a copier and/or printer, Col. 1 lines 21-24) to print an image onto an image receiving substrate; a reader (20) arranged to read identifiers (12 and 12'); a storage medium (30) in the printing apparatus arranged to hold a list (i.e. table 31) of any previously used identifiers associated with empty consumables (Col. 3 line 67 to Col. 4 line 8), said list (31) arranged to be updated with identifiers (12 and 12') of subsequent empty consumables (i.e. drums that have reached their useful life span, Col. 4 lines 9-14, or at least close to it); and a processor (40) arranged to compare an identifier (12 or 12') read by the reader (20) with the list (31) of any previously used identifiers and to generate an invalid indication (i.e. alarm to the user, 46) if there is a match between the identifier read by the reader (20) and the list (31) of any previously used identifiers (12 or 12') wherein the invalid indication includes an internal control signal (i.e. alarm) which disables the printing apparatus such that printing operations can not be implemented for a consumable (10) having an identifier in said list (31).

Note disabling is achieved by displaying an error message (i.e. alarm Col. 4 line 47) to a user and until that message is reset by a user (Col. 6 lines 4-11), the operations of the printer are remained disabled.

The examiner would like to point out the current interpretation of Col. 6 line 4-11 and how Kobayashi discloses "the process proceeds to the block 108 where the operator is notified on the operator panel that maintenance is required. In the embodiment being described, however, a drum having a used life count exceeding the predetermined life can be continued to be used if so desired by the operator" (emphasis added). The examiner has taken the interpretation that the user can choose to perform maintenance on the printer, i.e. by replacing the drum during halted operations, or the user can force printing to continue "if so desired by the operator". Therefore, the disclosure of Kobayashi explicitly teaches the user making a choice between performing maintenance on the drum when printing is not occurring/disabled due to the alarm at step 108 (occurring before printing) or to force printing to occur by resetting the alarm used to "disabling" the printer; hence, reading on the present invention.

With respect to claim 53 and 54, Kobayashi et al teaches in Fig. 1 a printer mechanism, wherein the storage medium (30) comprises a column of unique identifier fields (i.e. area 32, 34 and 36 of table 31), one or more corresponding status fields, and a blacklist of numbers of empty cartridge, including the list of any previously used identifiers associated with empty consumables (Col. 3 line 64 to Col. 4 line 14 and Fig. 3).

With respect to claims 56 and 57, Kobayashi et al teaches in Fig. 1 a printing apparatus and consumable comprising a printer mechanism (conventionally found in a

copier and/or printer, Col. 1 lines 21-24) arranged to print an image onto an image receiving substrate; a reader (20) arranged to read identifiers (12 and 12'); a storage medium (30) in the printing apparatus arranged to hold a list (i.e. table 31) of any previously used identifiers associated with empty consumables (Col. 3 line 67 to Col. 4 line 8), and a processor (40) arranged to compare an identifier (12 or 12') read by the reader (20) with the list (31) of any previously used identifiers and to generate an invalid indication (i.e. alarm to the user, 46) if there is a match (Col. 2 line 62 to Col. 3 line 10) between the identifier read by the reader (20) and the list (31) of any previously used identifiers (12 or 12'), wherein the invalid indication includes an internal control signal (i.e. alarm) which disables (via the alarm) the printing apparatus such that printing operations can not be implemented for a consumable (10, until the user resets the alarm or maintenance is performed) having an identifier in said list (31, Col. 6 lines 4-11).

Note disabling is achieved by displaying an error message (i.e. alarm Col. 4 line 47) to a user and until that message is reset by a user (Col. 6 lines 4-11), the operations of the printer are remained disabled.

The examiner would like to point out the current interpretation of Col. 6 line 4-11 and how Kobayashi discloses "the process proceeds to the block 108 where the operator is notified on the operator panel that maintenance is required. In the embodiment being described, however, a drum having a used life count exceeding the predetermined life <u>can be</u> continued to be used <u>if so desired by the operator</u>" (emphasis added). The examiner has taken the interpretation that the user can choose to perform

maintenance on the printer, i.e. by replacing the drum during prevented printing operations, or the user can force printing to continue "if so desired by the operator". Therefore, the disclosure of Kobayashi explicitly teaches the user making a choice between performing maintenance on the drum when printing is not occurring due to the alarm at step 108 (occurring before printing) or to force printing to occur by resetting the alarm used to "disabling" the printer. Hence, reading on the present invention.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 26, 28, 30, 33-35, 37-39, 55 and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi et al. (4,974,238) in view of Klinefelter et al. (WO 00/43932).

With respect to claims 26, Kobayashi et al. teaches all that is claimed in the above rejection of claim 25, but teaches an optical reader as the reader rather than an RF coil.

Klinefelter et al. teaches in Fig. 2 a similar printing apparatus where an RF coil, 42, is used to read an identifier off a consumable, i.e. ribbon cassette, 14.

Because both Hilton et al. and Klinefelter et al. teach structure used for reading an identifier off a consumable, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

art at the time of invention to substitute the optical reader of Kobayashi et al. with the RF coil of Klinefelter et al. where both achieve the predictable result of reading an identifier.

With respect to claim 28, Kobayashi et al. as modified by Klinefelter et al. teaches in Fig. 1 of Kobayashi et al. a printing apparatus wherein the storage medium (30) is arranged to hold a table (31) comprising a plurality of identifier fields (as seen in Fig. 1) associated with respective status fields (i.e. area 34, containing the count data).

With respect to claim 30, Kobayashi et al. as modified by Klinefelter et al. teaches in Fig. 1 of Kobayashi et al. a printing apparatus wherein the processor (40) is arranged to load into one of said identifier fields (i.e. empty space) an identifier read by the reader (20) which does not match a previously used identifier (Col. 4 lines 5-8).

With respect to claims 33-35, Kobayashi et al. teaches all that is claimed in the above rejection of claim 25, but fails to teach the consumable being a supply of an image thermal receiving substrate, where the usage monitor comprises an end of substrate detector.

Klinefelter et al. teaches in Fig. 2 a similar printing apparatus where the consumable is a supply of a thermal image receiving substrate, where an end of substrate detector, 50, is used to detect an end of the substrate.

Because both Kobayashi et al. and Klinefelter et al. teach structure used for reading an identifier off a consumable, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to substitute the consumable with another where both types of consumables achieve the predictable result of forming an image on a substrate.

With respect to claims 37-39, Kobayashi et al. teaches all that is claimed in the above rejection of claim 36, but fails to teach the consumable being a cassette in which comprises a spool of the thermal image receiving substrate.

Klinefelter et al. teaches in Fig. 2 a similar printing apparatus where the consumable is a cassette, 14, in which comprises a spool of the thermal image receiving substrate.

Because both Kobayashi et al. and Klinefelter et al. teach structure used for reading an identifier off a consumable, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to substitute the consumable with another where both types of consumables achieve the predictable result of forming an image on a substrate.

Claims 58 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi et al. (4,974,238) in view of Yasui et al. (5,938,354).

With respect to claim 58, Kobayashi et al teaches in Fig. 1 in combination, a printing apparatus (Col. 1 lines 21-24) and a consumable (drum 10) associated with an

Application/Control Number: 10/540,548

Art Unit: 2854

identifier (12 or 12') (note the identifier, 12, contains markings that are used for counting the reaming amount of the consumable drum), wherein the printing apparatus comprises: a printer mechanism (conventionally found in a copier and/or printer, Col. 1 lines 21-24) to print an image onto an image receiving substrate; a reader (20) arranged to read identifiers (12 and 12'); a monitor (44) for reading said markings indicative of the remaining amount of the consumable; a storage medium (30) in the printing apparatus arranged to hold a list (i.e. table 31) of any previously used identifiers associated with empty consumables (Col. 3 line 67 to Col. 4 line 8), wherein the printing apparatus is arranged to monitor the usage of said consumable (10) using said markings (i.e. part of 12) and to store said unique identifier in said storage medium (30) when said consumable is empty (Fig. 1, i.e. count storage area 34 and code area 32); and wherein the printing apparatus comprises a processor (40) arranged to compare an identifier (12) or 12') read by the reader (20) with the list (31) of any previously used identifiers and to generate an invalid indication (i.e. alarm to the user, 46) if there is a match (Col. 2 line 62 to Col. 3 line 10) between the identifier read by the reader (20) and the list (31) of any previously used identifiers (12 or 12'), wherein the invalid indication includes an internal control signal (i.e. alarm) which disables (via the alarm) the printing apparatus such that printing operations can not be implemented for a consumable (10, until the user resets the alarm or maintenance is performed) having an identifier in said list (31, Col. 6 lines 4-11).

Page 11

Note disabling is achieved by displaying an error message (i.e. alarm Col. 4 line 47) to a user and until that message is reset by a user (Col. 6 lines 4-11), the operations of the printer are remained disabled..

The examiner would like to point out the current interpretation of Col. 6 line 4-11 and how Kobayashi discloses "the process proceeds to the block 108 where the operator is notified on the operator panel that maintenance is required. In the embodiment being described, however, a drum having a used life count exceeding the predetermined life can be continued to be used if so desired by the operator" (emphasis added). The examiner has taken the interpretation that the user can choose to perform maintenance on the printer, i.e. by replacing the drum during prevented printing operations, or the user can force printing to continue "if so desired by the operator". Therefore, the disclosure of Kobayashi explicitly teaches the user making a choice between performing maintenance on the drum when printing is not occurring due to the alarm at step 108 (occurring before printing) or to force printing to occur by resetting the alarm used to "disabling" the printer. Hence, reading on the present invention.

Kobayashi does not teach the consumable being an image receiving substrate.

Yasui et al. teaches in Fig. 1 a similar printing apparatus where the consumable is a supply of an image receiving substrate 11, where an end of substrate detector, 27, is used to detect an end of the substrate (Col. 2 lines 7-10).

Because both Kobayashi et al. and Yusui et al. teach structure used for reading an identifier off a consumable, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

art at the time of invention to substitute the consumable with another where both types of consumables achieve the predictable result of forming an image on a substrate.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/24/11 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., stopping printing) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

The claims require disabling a printing apparatus such that printing operation can not be implemented; hence printing has not yet started. Therefore the argument that Kobayashi does not teach stopping printing is irrelevant because it's not required by the claims. However, Kobayashi does teach if a match is determined, the printer's ability to perform printing is disabled (via the alarm) until a user makes a decision to force printing or to perform maintenance.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MATTHEW G. MARINI whose telephone number is (571)272-2676. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 to 5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,548 Page 14

Art Unit: 2854

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571)-272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Matthew G Marini/ Examiner, Art Unit 2854